

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are now in the application. By this Amendment, claims 9 and 14 are amended to correct informalities. Support for the amendment to claims 9 and 14 is found at least at original claim 9 and at claim 5, respectively. The amendment to the claims do not limit the claims scope. No new matter has been added.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication that claims 1-8, 10-13, and 15-20 are allowed.

Claim 14 is objected to because the claim feature superheating is misspelled. Claim 14 has been amended as suggested in the Office Action.

Claim 9 is rejected, under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, because it is unclear from which claim it depends. Claim 9 has been amended to obviate the rejection.

In view of the above amendment, Applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Applicants believe no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 13156-00039-US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: October 27, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Georg M. Hasselmann/
Georg M. Hasselmann

Registration No.: 62,324
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1875 Eye Street, NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 331-7111
(202) 293-6229 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant

Application No. 10/573,185
Amendment dated October 27, 2008
Reply to Office Action of September 3, 2008

Docket No.: 13156-00039-US1